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Typical Scenario: BGP Session

If the data plane breaks, the control plane is able to detect this.
Challenge: Route Server at IXPs

Problem: If the data plane breaks, the control plane is not able to detect this. Data traffic is lost!
Solution

1. Client routers must have a means of verifying connectivity amongst themselves
   ➔ **Bidirectional Forwarding Detection, RFC 5880**

2. Client routers must have a means of communicating the knowledge so gained back to the route server
   ➔ **North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and TE Information using BGP, Draft**
   - **Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD):**
     - Hello packets are exchanged between two client routers (comparable to BGP Hello)
     - Asynchronous mode (default)
     - Rate: 1 packet / second, detection after 3 missing packets
   - **North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and TE Information using BGP (BGP-LS):**
     - Model IXP network as nodes (client routers and route server) and links (data plane reachability)
     - Per peer: Next-Hop Information Base (NHIB) stores reachability for all next-hops
Solution

1. Route Server: NHIB updated
2. Client Router: Verify connectivity
   BFD connections are setup automatically
3. Client Router: NHIB updated
4. Route Server: Route selection
   All routes with next hop declared unreachable are excluded
Data Link Breakage

1. Client Router: Data link break detected
2. Client Router: NHIB updated
3. Route Server: Route selection
   All routes with next hop declared unreachable are excluded
Data Link Healing

1. Client Router: Re-establishing BFD session
2. Client Router: NHIB updated
3. Route Server: Route selection
   All routes with next hop declared reachable are included
Status of Internet Draft

- Inter Domain Routing Working Group adoption achieved
- Feedback highly appreciated: Inter Domain Routing (IDR) mailing list: [https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/idr](https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/idr)
- We switched from “Carrying next-hop cost information in BGP” to BGP-LS?
  - NH-Cost Internet Draft is inactive and not supported by router vendors
  - BGP-LS provides similar mechanisms and is / will be implemented by router vendors
  - Any comments on this?
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