

New proposals

Piotr Strzyżewski

Silesian University of Technology, Computer Centre



New proposals

- ❑ Same INET(6)NUM objects with different status
- ❑ Clean up some hacks with status values
- ❑ UTF-8 in the database
- ❑ Make phone number optional in person objects
- ❑ Clean up historical "abuse-mailbox:" attributes



Same INET(6)NUM objects with different status

- ❑ Not possible to put into the DB two INET(6)NUM objects for the same space with different status
- ❑ Almost no feedback (one vote against)

Clean up some hacks with status values

- ❑ Some hacks exist in the DB to satisfy the schema
- ❑ Those hacks are then commented to explain the situation (see example)

```
status: ALLOCATED-BY-RIR # This block was actually  
    allocated by the IANA
```

- ❑ No feedback at all
- ❑ Let's fix that!



UTF-8 in the database

- ❑ Not a new idea – presented at RIPE61 and RIPE66
- ❑ Two ways of dealing with the proposal:
 - ❑ UTF-8 in present attributes
 - ❑ UTF-8 in complementary attributes (like `person-idn`)
- ❑ Mostly positive feedback
- ❑ Could help with getting the correct data for LEAs
- ❑ Could break everything ;-)

UTF-8 (not only) in the database



UTF-8 (not only) in the database

Michał	Kępień	Naukowa i Akademicka Sieć Komputerowa	PL	Checked in
--------	--------	--	----	---------------



Make phone number optional in person objects

- ❑ Discussion about extending this to make more attributes optional
- ❑ Comment about RFC 2622 (RPSL)



Clean up historical "abuse-mailbox:" attributes

- ❑ Follow up to implementation of abuse-c
- ❑ Two abuse contact tools
- ❑ LHRs' issues
- ❑ Waiting for more feedback



Questions?