3:10ff 1908::105 FOF 198.5



Personalised Authentication

Tim Bruijnzeels Assistant Manager Database Group

RIPE 70 | Amsterdam - Netherlands | 14 May 2015

Why?

- Allows for one click creation of person objects (if managed by SSO account, will come back to this)
- Maintain your credentials in one place
- Better auditing: can show <u>who</u> made a change to an object to authorised users
- More intuitive authorisation of persons, similar to indicating persons as admin and tech contacts



- Extend person with "auth:" as an optional, multiple attribute, with all current authentication methods
- Extend person "mnt-by:" with a new keyword 'self' to indicate that the person object is maintained using its own credentials
- Extend mntner "auth:" attribute to allow a reference to a person object with at least one "auth:" attribute



- mnt-by: 'self'
- Implies strong one to one relation between a person and an SSO account
 - Need authorisation tokens on both
 - Or create new person
 - Person can have only ONE SSO account (and vice versa)
- Allows user to create and maintain their access account, person object, credentials, name, email, etc.. all in one place with minimal effort.



Clean-up existing auth: sso references?

- If the SSO account appears on a maintainer
- And it's self maintained
- Then we can replace the "auth: sso" reference with an "auth: person" reference as soon as the association of person and sso is authorised



Persons and SSO - Maintained by others

- mnt-by: <other>
- Propose to <u>not</u> allow "auth:" on person objects managed by others

 Managed persons are fine, but.. people should maintain their <u>own</u> credentials

• We can facilitate an invitation system instead..



Open Issues?

- Should there be business rules to prevent removing the last auth: attribute from a person object that is referenced in an authorisation context?
 - Prevent accidental loss of access to credentials
 - Should dereference this person from mntners first



Questions?



8